

Date: Sun, 25 Sep 94 04:30:10 PDT  
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #463  
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest                      Sun, 25 Sep 94                      Volume 94 : Issue 463

Today's Topics:

Deaf Ham & CW

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----

Date: Fri, 23 Sep 1994 16:56:00 EST  
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!amcomp!  
dan@ames.arpa  
Subject: Deaf Ham & CW  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Cecil\_A\_Moore@ccm.ch.intel.com writes:

>In article <113@washpenn.uucp>, Rob Swider <swider@washpenn.UUCP> wrote:  
>>  
>>Now the interesting thing is that he's deaf (hearing impaired, if you prefer).  
>>After reading some of the information, he's interested in giving it a try.  
>  
>Hi Rob, Truly hearing impaired people can get a waiver for the CW testing  
>by bringing a letter from a medical doctor stating that fact.

The waiver is only for the higher speed tests. The ITU would preclude  
waiving the 5 WPM test. Part 97.505 (5) (i) explains it. It requires an  
MD or DO to certify that you unable to pass a 13 or 20 WPM test and have  
a condition that will last more than 365 days.

Dan

--

"We can't be so fixated on our desire to preserve the rights of ordinary Americans.." -- President William Jefferson Clinton

-----

Date: 25 Sep 1994 05:01:29 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!wupost!

crcnis1.unl.edu!unlinfo.unl.edu!gbrown@network.ucsd.edu

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1994Sep17.235636.3145@ke4zv.atl.ga.us>, <360b5j\$ijv@crcnis1.unl.edu>, <1994Sep24.150229.6118@ke4zv.atl.ga.us>

Subject : Re: Facts Speak volumes

Gary Coffman (gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us) wrote:

: My intent was to convey the idea that the predatory practices of  
: competitive postal card collectors are more likely to be the antithesis  
: of promoting international goodwill. Instead it's just another way to  
: demonstrate the ugly American effect to people overseas. A better way  
: of enhancing international goodwill is to leave the poor foreign ops  
: \*in peace\* so that they can conduct ordinary conversations with people  
: without the constant hounding by card collectors. Of course those  
: foreign ops who \*want\* to play the game are a different matter. It's  
: just that I know several foreign ex-amateurs who gave up the ARS  
: due to the constant hounding by DXers. They couldn't get on the air  
: for a simple chat without a pileup forming.

: Gary

Yes, Gary, that does happen. \_Every\_ facet of amateur radio suffers from those who have bad manners and poor judgment...just as every other human activity does. We should do what we can to combat that kind of behavior. However, it does no good to malign all DX operators who enjoy collecting QSLs by using over-generalizations. I am confident that the activities you describe are by a very visible minority, and towards those folk your comments are justified, but not towards "DXers" and "card collectors" in general.

I think a lot more could be accomplished if we \_all\_ quit making statements about those "no-coders", "pro-coders", "DXers", "Chirpers", and yes, even "appliance operators", and go after the issues and the real problems themselves. It isn't as easy...but it sure would be less devisive.

Greg WB0RTK

-----  
End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #463

\*\*\*\*\*